

A Student-Faculty Research Agreement

Miguel Roig

St. John's University

Author contact information: Miguel Roig St. John's University Department of Psychology 300 Howard Avenue Staten Island, New York 10301 roigm@stjohns.edu (718) 390-4513

Copyright 2007 by Miguel Roig. All rights reserved. You may modify this resource to suit your individual needs and you may reproduce multiple copies for your own personal use, including use in your classes and/or sharing with individual colleagues as long as the author's name and institution and the Office of Teaching Resources in Psychology heading or other identifying information appear on the copied document. No other permission is implied or granted to print, copy, reproduce, or distribute additional copies of this material. Anyone who wishes to produce copies for purposes other than those specified above must obtain the permission of the author.

A Student-Faculty Research Agreement

Abstract

Research collaborations between students and faculty are increasingly taking place in the natural and social sciences. Unfortunately, such collaborations can become dysfunctional or break down altogether leading to disputes about important matters, such as data ownership and authorship rights. To minimize the conflicts that such disputes can raise, I have created a Student-Faculty Research Agreement that can also be used for instructional purposes in research integrity and research ethics training.

Introduction

Multiple authorship of research and scholarly papers has increased significantly not only in psychology (e.g., Mendenhall, & Higbee, 1982; Roig, Speranza, & Greco, 1999), but also in other sciences and scholarly disciplines (Holaday & Yost 1994; Macrina, 2000). Among the benefits of such collaborations, is the ability to tackle more complex research questions and to use limited resources in a more efficient manner. Though uncommon by most measures, one of the disadvantages of collaborative arrangements is the emergence of situations, whether personal or work-related, that can lead to the dysfunction and eventual break-up of a research group. For example, according to Bennett and Kidwell (2001) who have studied the dynamics of work collaborations, a member of a team may fail to meet her responsibilities by withholding effort towards the completion of the project. Restoring the balance of a working group by scaling back the dysfunctional member's responsibilities or outright ejecting that member can raise fundamental ethical dilemmas of important issues, such as authorship and ownership of ideas and research data.

In recent years, the number of research collaborations between faculty and students, particularly undergraduates, has increased. Unfortunately, as with any relationship, such associations have the potential of running into a number of difficulties, particularly when students approach involvement in research not as a goal in itself, but as a means of deriving other benefits, such as obtaining an advantage in applying to graduate school. The latter scenario is complicated by evidence indicating that sometimes faculty may be inadvertently aiding students obtain such advantages even when the students do not clearly merit them. For example, Sandler and Russell (2005) have found that up to 27% of members of the American Psychological Association (APA) have been involved in ethically questionable authorship assignments. These findings are alarming, given that the APA has prominently disseminated guidelines in the area of authorship and their interpretation as they apply to students (see Fine & Kurdek, 1993). Other forms of dysfunction in student-faculty research collaborations occur for other reasons, including faulty faculty mentoring, students' lack of experience with the norms of scientific research and similar lack of experience with working with groups or independently. Based on personal experience and that of other colleagues, I believe that some of the ethical dilemmas that can arise between students and faculty can be avoided if they discuss potential areas of disagreement ahead of time.

To facilitate such a dialogue, I have put together a document that represents a formal agreement between a faculty mentor and students who are interested in carrying out a research project under the supervision of the faculty mentor. The Agreement is based on a generic form that had been in use at my college with students from a variety of disciplines. The form was much simpler than the current version and consisted of the first introductory part and Items 1 and 2. It is no longer in use at the institution. The present version has been substantially modified and expanded to better clarify the roles and expectations of psychology students who collaborate with a faculty member in a research project. The new document points students to a number of relevant resources, such as the APA's Ethics Code (American Psychological Association, 2002) and provides the faculty member with criteria with which to evaluate the student's level of involvement. By reviewing the agreement and its associated materials, students receive important instruction in various facets of the research process. The agreement also imparts to students a faculty member's expectations about the students' commitment toward the project. Finally, the process of negotiating this agreement raises other significant elements regarding the responsible conduct of research, such as the importance of data accuracy and ownership of such data and associated research materials.

References

- American Psychological Association. (2002). Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct. **American Psychologist**, **57**, 1060-1073.
- Bennett, N., & Kidwell, R. E., Jr. (2001). The provision of effort in self-designing work groups: The case of collaborative research. **Small-Group-Research**, **32**, 727-744.
- Fine, M. A., & Kurdek, L. A. (1993). Reflections on determining authorship credit and authorship order on faculty-student collaborations. **American Psychologist**, **48**, 1141-1147.
- Holaday, M., & Yost, T. E. (1994). Psychology of the scientist: LXVIII. Trends in multiple authorship. **Psychological Reports**, **74**, 299–303.
- Macrina, F. (2000). Scientific integrity (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology.
- Mendenhall, M., & Higbee, K. L. (1982). Psychology of the scientist: XLVIII. Recent trends in multiple authorship in psychology. **Psychological Reports**, **51**, 1019-1022.
- Roig, M., Speranza, L., & Greco, M. (1999, April). **Fifty years of the Eastern Psychological Association.** Poster paper presented at the 70th meeting of the Eastern Psychological Association, Providence, RI.
- Sandler, J. C., & Russell, B. L. (2005). Faculty-student collaborations: Ethics and satisfaction in authorship credit. **Ethics & Behavior**, **15**, 65-80.

Acknowledgement

Based on Roig, M (2005, March). Faculty-student research contracts. In N. Costigan (Chair), **Ethics in teaching, practice and research.** Symposium conducted at the meeting of the Eastern Psychological Association, Boston, MA.

STUDENT-FACULTY RESEARCH AGREEMENT

The purpose of this document is to formalize the terms of research collaborations between students and their mentor for the project described below. The **Student-Faculty Research Agreement** addresses some of the specific tasks, responsibilities, and other relevant issues associated with the conduct of scientific research (e.g., research ethics, data ownership, authorship, etc.). Please read and complete this form.

Title of Proposed Study:							
Name of Faculty Member or Project Supervisor:							
1. Detailed description of research project (to be completed by the student):							
	_						
2. Indicate in detail how the semester is to be divided by student tasks and by deadline dates. (e.g. first two weeks will be devoted to reading and discussing secondary sources; next three weeks will be devoted to primary source research at the library; submission of an outline in the sixth week, etc.)							
1 st week							
2 nd week							
3 rd week							
4 th week							

5 th week			
7 th week			
8 th week			
9 th week			
10 th week_			
11 th week_			
12 th week_			
13 th week_			
14 th week_			
	Agre	eement Statement	
demands a high leading is being undertaked completed within dedicate the necessalso uphold the properties of the seriod ethical principles falsification, data but also a most see. If this research properties of humbefore commencing a tutorial on the undertaked in the project of the seriod ethical principles falsification, data but also a most see. If this research properties of humbefore commencing a tutorial on the undertaked in the project of the seriod etermine who an authorship of any	evel of personal commitment, time of a cademic credit (e.g., independent the temporal limitations of a seme sary time and effort to complete sinciples of scientific integrity as org/ethics/code2002.html), particularly and understood. I have also reviews usness of these issues and of the and our own institution's academ fabrication, or plagiarism in the covere form of scientific misconductories involves the recruitment and an subjects (e.g., http://cme.cancerng work on the project. Similarly, see of animals as research subjects by the stipulation that all research decome the property of the instituted under what circumstances other	e, and effort. This is particular bendent research, senior seminester-long course. By signing this project in accordance to the exemplified by the APA code alarly Principle C and Standard weed our institution's academic consequences of violating such integrity policies, I recognized integrity policies, I recognized the project involves using a fee.g., http://grants.nih.gov/ginadata (e.g., questionnaires, dation and will be retained by the smay have access to such data or journal article will dependent.	this document, I promise to the schedule drawn above. I will to of ethics and 8, Research & Publication, nic integrity policies and I am fully the policies. Based on the APA ize that any form of data y an academically dishonest act, agree to take a tutorial on the numanparticipant-protections.asp) animals as subjects, I agree to take rants/olaw/tutorial/).
	re		
Faculty member's	s or supervising investigator's sig	nature	date
Chairperson's sign	nature	date	

PROJECT GRADE AND AUTHORSHIP DETERMINATION RATING GUIDE

Extent of Student Contribution to the Project (to be completed by faculty mentor)

		st describes the exten project. Leave blank	t to which each of the faif not applicable.	ollowing statements of	describes the		
Introduc	IntroductionConceptualized the study/origin of idea/hypothesis/variables						
	1	2	3	4	5		
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent		
	 Carried out the literature search (identified relevant literature, retrieved articles, summarized articles) 						
	l Not at all	2 To a little extent	To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent		
Method	Not at an	10 a nuic extent	To a moderate extent	10 a great extent	To a very great extent		
	Made contribution	utions to the research	design				
	1	2	3	4	5		
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent		
	Constructed stimulus materials/Set up-calibrated study equipment/Carried out ratings						
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	5 To a very great extent		
Data coll	ection						
	Recruited and	consented subjects					
	1 Not at all	2 To a little autom	3 To a medianete entent	4 To a smoot outside	5 To a series among any series		
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent		
	• Ran subjects/	Recorded observation	ns		_		
	l Not at all	2 To a little extent	To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent		
				B			
	 Debriefed sub 	ojects 2	3	4	5		
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent		
Data analyses							
	• Entered data i	n database					
	1 Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	To a very great extent		
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent		
	• Checked data	for accuracy			_		
	1 Not at all	2 To a little extent	3 To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent		
	Contribute 1	- determined to	25 a moderate extent	20 a grout entoin	10 a . org great extent		

3

To a moderate extent

To a great extent

5

To a very great extent

Contributed to data analysis decisions

To a little extent

Not at all

	• Carried ou	nt data analyses	2	4	~
	Not at all	To a little extent	3 To a moderate extent	To a great extent	5 To a very great extent
Writing	Wrote Intr	oduction and literature	review		
	1	2	3	4	5
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent
	• Wrote Me	thods section	•	,	_
	l Not at all	2 To a little extent	3 To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent
	• Wrote Res	sults section			
	1 Not at all	2 To a little extent	3 To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent
			To a moderate extent	10 a groat extent	To a very great extent
	• Wrote Dis	cussion section 2	3	4	5
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent
Presenta	tion				
	• Constructe				
	1 Not at all	2 To a little extent	3 To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent
	• Mada musa	vantation			
	 Made pres 	2	3	4	5
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent
Other co	entributions:				
	• Identified	potential confounds			
	1 Not at all	2 To a little extent	3 To a moderate extent	4 To a great extent	5 To a very great extent
				10 a groat extent	To a very great extent
	• Identified	possible directions for	future research	4	5
	Not at all	To a little extent	To a moderate extent	To a great extent	To a very great extent
	 Organizati 	ional Skills			
	1 Very Poor	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good	5 Very Good
	-		ran	Good	very Good
	Dedication 1	n to the project	3	4	5
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good
	• Other 1:	2			
	1	2	3	4	5
					
	• Other 2:				

Additional Notes:			