

References used in creation of the newly revised Project Syllabus rubric (2/17)

- Ambrose, S. A., Bridges, M. W., DePietro, M., Lovett, M. C., & Norman, M. K. (2010). *How learning works: Seven research-based principles for smart teaching*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Bain, K. (2004). *What the best college teachers do*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bloom B., ed. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals, handbook 1: Cognitive domain*. New York: Longmans, Green & Co.
- California State University Chico (2016). Exemplary online instruction. Retrieved from <https://www.csuchico.edu/tlp/resources/rubric/rubric.pdf>
- Cullen, R. & Harris, M. (2009). Assessing learner-centredness through course syllabi. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(1), 115-125. doi: 10.1080/02602930801956018
- Davis, B. G. (2009). *Tools for teaching* (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- DiClementi, J.D. & Handlesman, M.M. (2005). Empowering students: Class-generated course rules. *Teaching of Psychology*, 23(1), 18-21.
- Fink, L. D. (2003). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Gross Davis, B. (2009). The comprehensive course syllabus. *Tools for teaching* (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Grunert O'Brien, J., Millis, B.J., & Cohen, M.W. (2008). *The course syllabus: A learning-centered approach* (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Harnish, R.J. & Bridges, K.R. (2011). Effect of syllabus tone: Students' perceptions of instructor and course. *Social Psychology of Education*, 14(3), 319-330. doi: 10.1007/s11218-011-9152-4

- Hara, B. (2010, October). Graphic display of student learning objectives. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from <http://chronicle.com/blogs/profhacker/graphic-display-of-student-learning-objectives/27863>
- Ifenthaler, D. (2011). Bridging the gap between expert-novice differences: The model-based feedback approach. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42 (2), 103-117.
- Jiang, L. & Elen, J. (2011). Why do learning goals (not) work: a reexamination of the hypothesized effectiveness of learning goals based on students' behavior and cognitive processes. *Education Technology Research & Development*. 59, 553-573. doi: 10.1007/s11423-011-9200-y
- Ludwig, M.A., Bentz, A., & Fynewever, H. (2011). Your syllabus should set the stage for assessment for learning. *Journal of College Science Teaching* 40(4), 20-23.
- Mager, R. (1975). *Preparing instructional objectives* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Belmont, CA: Fearon-Pitman Publishers, Inc.
- McKeachie, W. J., & Svinicki, M. (2005). *McKeachie's teaching tips: Strategies, research, and theory for college and university teachers*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing.
- Nilson, L.B. (2010). *Teaching at its best: A research-based resource for college instructors* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Nilson, L. (2003). "The complete syllabus." *Teaching at its best: A research-based resource for college instructors*. Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing Company
- O'Brien, J. G., Millis, B. J., & Cohen, M. W. (2008). *The course syllabus: A learning-centered approach*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass
- Perrine, R., Lisle, J., & Tucker, D. (1995). Effect of a syllabus offer of help, student age, and class size on college students' willingness to seek support from faculty. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 64(1), 41-52.

- Ragan, L.C., Bigatel, P.M., Kennan, S.S., Dillon, J.M. (2012). From research to practice: Towards the development of an integrated and comprehensive faculty development program. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(5), 71-86.
- Richmond, A.S., Slattery, J.M., Mitchell, N., Morgan, R.K., & Becknell, J. (2016). Can a learner-centered syllabus change students' perception of student-professor rapport and master teacher behaviors? *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*, 2(3), 159-168.  
doi.org/10.1037/stl0000066
- Saville, B.K., Zinn, T.E., Brown, A.R., & Marchuk, K.A. (2010). Syllabus detail and students' perceptions of teacher effectiveness. *Teaching of Psychology*, 37(3), 186-189. doi:  
10.1080/00986283.2010.488523
- Shea, P., Swan, K., Fredericksen, E., & Pickett, A. (2002). Student satisfaction and reported learning in the SUNY learning network. *Elements of Quality Online Education*, 3, 145-156.
- Tamin, R. M., Lowerison, G., Schmid, R.F., Bernard, R. M., & Abrami, P. C. (2011). A multi-year investigation of the relationship between pedagogy, computer use and course effectiveness in postsecondary education. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 23, 1-14. doi:  
10.1007/s12526-010-9014
- Wasley, P. (2008, March). Research yields tips on crafting better syllabi. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 54(27), A11. Retrieved from <http://www.chronicle.com/article/Research-Yields-Tips-on/8716>
- Weimer, M. (2011, August). What does your syllabus say about you and your course? *The Teaching Professor Blog*. Retrieved from <http://www.facultyfocus.com/articles/teaching-professor-blog/what-does-your-syllabus-say-about-you-and-your-course/>